Influence of deep sedation in ICU memories in critical COVID-19 survivors

JMIP 2021: "Ventilation, Communication and Training in Intensive Care" - 15 Oct 2021

A. Braga (1), S. Martins (2,3), J. Fernandes (1), T. Vieira (1), A.R. Ferreira (3), L. Fontes (1), I. Coimbra (1), L. Fernandes (2,3,5), J.A. Paiva (1,4)



1 Intensive Care Medicine Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto; 2 Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto; 3 Center for Health Technology and Services Research/CINTESIS, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto; 4 Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto; 5 Psychiatry Service, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Porto, Portugal

Introduction

Post-ICU patients are known to sustain a variety of physical and psychological sequelae after critical illness^{1,5}, and the COVID-19 pandemic has added further challenges. Psychological distress has been increasingly acknowledged as an <u>under-recognized</u>, prevalent problem, which can lessen recoverv^{1,5}.

In particular, ICU memories play a significant role. Their routine assessment during follow-up ICU consultation is paramount to appraise psychological sequelae.

Specifically, <u>Defusional memories</u> are source of discomfort/anxiety after discharge, and <u>associated</u> <u>with poor outcomes</u> including delayed return to work and sleep problems^{1,7,9}.

<u>Deep sedation has been associated with greater risk of perceiving distressing delusional memories^{7,9}, bringing a **move towards lighter sedation** in recent years. However, there are limited reports on <u>post-ICU memories</u> in <u>COVID-19^{4,6}</u>, and <u>influence of deep sedation</u> has not been fully defined.</u>

- Objective: Evaluate ICU memories in critical COVID-19 survivors and their relation with deep sedation
- Materials/Methods

Adult COVID-19 ICU survivors of a Portuguese University Hospital (CHUSJ) from October-2020 to April-2021 (2nd/3rd COVID-19 "weves") were evaluated by telephone follow-up consultations 1-2 months post-hospital discharge using "ICU Memory Tool"³, to assess factual, emotional and delusional ICU memories

Exclusion criteria: ICMD length of stay (LoS) ≤24h, terminal illness or major sensory loss or inability to communicate at the time of follow-up.

Part of an ongoing longitudinal study (MAPA-Mental Health in Critically ill patients with COVID-19)

Table 2. Influence of Deep Sedation on ICU Memory Recall in Critically III COVID-19 Patients (n = 124)

Results

 Study included 124 patients (65% male; median age=62y, APACHE-II score=15, SAPS-II=35, LOS=9D), 42% receiving deep sedation (median duration=18D).

Table 1. Characteristics of Critically III Patients Admitted with COVID-19 (n = 124)

	Median (Min-Max)	
Male gender, n (%)	81 (65,3%)	
Age (years)	62 (24-86)	
Charlson Comorbidity Index	3 (0-8)	
Acute Physiology and Chronic Health	15 (6-34)	
Evaluation II (APACHE-II)		
Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS-II)	35 (2-86)	
ICU stay (days)	9 (2-120)	
Hospital stay (days)	25 (6-211)	
Deep sedation, n (%)	52 (41,9%)	
- Duration of Deep sedation (days)	17,5 (0-118)	
Invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), n(%)	53 (42,7%)	
- Duration of IMV (days)	27 (0-123)	

	Total (n = 124)	(n = 52, 41,9%)	Non-/Light Sedation (n = 72, 58,1%)	P-value (Pearsor Qui-Square)
Recall of ICU memories, n (%)	109 (87,9%)	42 (80,8 %)	67 (93,1%)	0,038
Recall of Real Memories	108 (98,2%)	41 (95,3%)	67 (100%)	0,151
 Family visiting 	35 (46,1%)	1.2		8
- Alarms	91 (82,7%)			
- Voices	106 (96,4%)	7.50	100	
- Lights	94 (85,5%)	0.00	14	
 Faces 	106 (96,4%)	748	-	2
Breathing tube	29 (26,6%)	928	(2)	8
- Suctioning	11 (10,1%)	1.00	100	(7)
- Darkness	82 (74,5%)	1.60		8
- Clock	23 (20,9%)	060		~
Tube in your nose	72 (65,5%)	-		-
 Ward round 	106 (96,4%)	-		
Recall of Emotional Memories	95 (86,4%)	42 (97,7%)	53 (79,1%)	0,006
- Being uncomfortable	53 (48,2%)	27 (62,8%)	26 (38,8%)	0,014
- Feeling confused	46 (41,8%)	31 (72,1%)	15 (22,4%)	0,000
- Feeling down	60 (54,5%)	30 (69,8%)	30 (44,8%)	0,010
- Feeling ansious/frightened	74 (67,3%)	35 (81,4%)	39 (58,2%)	0,011
- Panic	10 (9,1%)	6 (14%)	4 (6%)	0,155
- Pain	29 (26,6%)	13 (31%)	16 (23,9%)	0,416
Recall of Delusional Memories	44 (40%)	31 (72,1%)	13 (19,4%)	0,000
Feeling that people were trying to hurt you	13 (11,8%)	12 (27,9%)	1 (1,5%)	0,000
- Hallucinations	19 (17,3%)	15 (34,9%)	4 (6%)	0,000
- Nightmares	27 (24,5%)	20 (46,5%)	7 (10,4%)	0,000
- Dreams	23 (20,9%)	16 (37,2%)	7 (10,4%)	0,001

- Most participants (88%) held some memory of ICU stay, mostly for real events (98%) and emotions (86%), with only 40% reporting delusional memories.
- ICU memory recall was significantly higher in non-sedated patients (p=0.038).
- Percentage of emotional and delusional memories increased with deep sedation.
- Factual memory recall did not differ.

To control for associated factors potentially influencing incidence of ICU emotional and delusional memories other than effect of deep sedation: 1) First we identified a number of potential confounding variables (including age, ICU scores, duration of deep sedation and ICU stay, presence of delirium) that were individually assessed for relation to incidence of ICU memories; 2) Factors demonstrating significant association were enrolled with "Deep Sedation" in Multivariate analysis, using logistic regression model, to evaluate for presence of an independent contribution of Deep Sedation

Table 3.1. Concurrent factors potentially influencing incidence of ICU emotional and delusional memories,

 Table 3.2. Logistic Regression Model for variables associated with ICU emotional and delusional memories

Recall of Emotional Memories	OR	95% CI	P-value
Deep sedation	7,519	0,236-240,02	0,254
Age	0,921	0,852-0,995	0,038
CU stay	0,987	0,928-1,049	0,673
Recall of Delusional Memories	OR	95% CI	P-value
Deep sedation	4,638	1,228-17,517	0,024
ICU stay	1,011	0,985-1,038	0,425
Delirium during stay	2,236	0.577-8.669	0.245

- Deep sedation had significant, independent association with incidence of Delusional ICU memories
- Conclusion: These results suggest that sedation influences incidence of ICU memories in critical COVID-19 survivors and adverse delusional recalls, requiring further studies to define its role in their occurrence, and underline the importance of communication during follow-up consultation for identification of ICU sequelae.
- Reference
 - nces:
 as P. et al (2010). Psychological distress and delusional memories after critical care: a literature review. International Nursing Review 57, 288-296
- L.M. Aitken et al. (2016) What is the relationship between elements of ICU treatment and memories after discharge in adult ICU survivors? Australian Critical Care 29, 5–14
 C. Jones et al. (2000). Preliminary validation of the ICUM tool: a tool for assessing memory of the intensive care experience. Clinical Intensive Care, 11(5): 251 255
- C. Jones et al. (2001). Prevalence and risk factors for delirium in critically ill patients with COVID-9 (cOVID-9): a multicentre cohort study. Lancet Respir Med; 9: 239–50.

 C. Grania et al. (2001). Betiente! recollections of experiences in the interesting over unit may affect their quality of life. Citical Care 2005. 9-99. 9-00.
- -J.B. Costa et al. (2014). Sodation and memories of patients subjected to mechanical ventilation in an intensive care unif. Rev Bras Ter Intensive. 26(2):122-129.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes: Current insights and Future Developers (Intensive. 26(2):122-129).

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et al. (2020) Chapter 4.1 The Relationship between Delirium and Mental Health Coulcomes.

 Houghes et
- C.R. Shinotsuka (2014). Sedation and memories in critical care. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 26(2):81-8